TO: Drs. Neiklejohn. Thompson and Washburn, a Special Counttee of

the Faculty

FROM: Dr. Roger S. Mitchell

SUBJECT: A possible Repartment (or Division) of Genetics at the School of

Medicine

I. Beeiground:

In searching for outstanding research talent, the Colorado Foundation for Research in Tuberculosis became interested in Dr. Joshua Lederberg, Professor of Genetics, University of Wisconsin. The possibility of a Department or Rivision of Genetics in our school, concerned with both research and teaching and spensored and housed by the Colorado Foundation for Research in Tuberculosis, has emerged from conversations and correspondence with Dr. Lederberg.

II. The general place of Genetics in a Medical School:

Public discussion of genetic hazards of exposure to radiation has focused renewed attention on the genetic factor in medicine; the level of this discussion helps to emphasize not only the gaps in our scientific knowledge but also in the effectiveness with which the knowledge we have gained has penetrated to the profession and to the lay public alike. The attitude of misunderstanding or mystery that often attachs to genetic issues may be contrasted with the familiarity with basic physiological and b ochemical science that is a workeday tool of every wall trained clinician. Inevitably, both genetic research and medical practice must suffer from this lack of rapport, where it partains.

There are several signs of a new direction and emphasis on medical genetics today, however. For example, several excellent texts have, for the first time, appeared (Stern; Harris; Neel and Shull; Sorsty) and a number of medical schools (notably Michigan, Oklahoma, Minnesota, Utah, Roman-Gray) are sponsoring research, teaching and clinics in this field. This reemphasis may be ascribed partly to the natural growth and differentiation of the medical sciences, partly to the popular interest in genetic hygiene, and perhaps significantly to the increasing relative importance of "constitutional" diseases as medical practice achieves effective control over contagious afflications.

The introduction of a medical genetics center does not necessitate a separate department; this is a decision that will depend on many local and personal considerations. For the demands of clinical practice, it appears that highly specialized training in research methods is relatively unimportant, and the role of genetic etiology might be effectively integrated into all clinical teaching. But the same might be said of other basic sciences. Experiments with integrated teaching are under way; their success may well depend on the availability of integrated teachers, and until they have been trained themselves, differentiated departments and courses may be an unavoidable compromise.

The effectiveness of the teaching of genetics in a Medical School would depend a great deal on effective collaboration with the clinical departments

in the joint study of specific cases, wherever feasible. This collaboration should, ideally, extend beyond the classroom; the medical genetics staff should serve as a focus of consultation and provocation of interest in genetic aspects of diagnosis and therapy smong the working faculty, a role that is possibly more critical than classroom teaching. To accomplish this effectively, the geneticist should be, on the one hand, well acquainted with the literature in the various fields of medical genetics, and on the other, conversant with the day to day problems of his clinical colleagues, and effective in linking the two.

At a university where research in various aspects of genetics is soundly developed, the clinical geneticist may be the only new staff appointment required to round out a genetics program. Elsewhere, a base may have to be built up gradually if a high quality of research and graduate education is to be anticipated. In such a circumstance, whether to start with the "clinical geneticist" or the basic research group is open to debate. A compromise might be effected if an M.D. or Ph.D. can be found who can combine both roles, at least for the time being.

III. A proposed course in Medical Genetics, suitable for the second or third year curriculum:

A. What is heredity

- 1. Twins, Nature and nurture.
- 2. Collection and interpretation of family data. Consenguinity analysis. Pedigrees. Single factor inheritance. Dominance. Sex linkage.
- 3. The chromosomes of man. Sex determination.

B. Common hereditary factors

- 4-5. Blood groups. Transfusion, Hemorrhagic disease of newborn. Forensic.
- 6. The hemoglobins and hereditary anemias.

C. Pare mutante in man.

- 7. Mutation. Metabolic diseases with physiological genetics Neurospora, etc., as background. Phenylkatomuric eligophrenia; alkaptomuria; tyrosinosis.
- 8. Metabolic diseases continued: glycogen storage disease; galactosomic hepatomegaly; agammaglobulinemia; hemophilias. Metabolic individuality.

D. Polygenic inheritance

- 9. Genetic factors in infectious and constitutional disease; cancer.
- 10. Genetic factors in mental disease.

E. Genetic hygiene.

11. Aims and fallacies of eugenics; social and "inchstrial" medical problems from radiation (and chemicals?).

- F. Experimental genetic studies on manuals.
 - 12. Example—histocompatibility and acquired tolerance; the antibody response.
- G. Microbial genetics.
 - 13. Mutation studies. Drug resistance.
 - 14. Recombination mechanisms.
 - 15. Viruses (as organisms and as genes).

Some of these topics may already be adequately covered in other course work. Every effort should be made to correlate this with other efferings. It will be essential to seek the cooperation of clinical specialists 1) for appropriate case demonstrations, and 2) to ensure a balanced account of such topics as mental disease, sugerics and radiation hazards. If there are pronounced differences of opinion within the medical faculty, it may be profitable to arrange for joint presentations or discussions.

Student laboratory exarcises would pose many problems, but might be worked out in collaboration with other courses (clinical pathology) physiological chemistry; microbiology).

It would be helpful to have some standardisation of preparation in geneties. If many premedical students will already have included a course, it would be vice to urge most of them to do so; if not, more emphasis may be needed on the blood groups.

The course is not designed to indoctrinate specialists, but to inculcate an appreciation for the role of the genetic factor in the determination of disease and of normal personal individuality. With this background, the student may be better equipped to learn from his experience in the clinical years and his practice.

Text: Possibly "Harris-Introduction to Human Blochesical Genetics"

Reference Books: Sorsby-Clinical Genetics; Neel and Shull-Human Heredity; Stern-Human Genetics.

IV. A general outline of genetic research related in whole or in part to Medicine:

A. HUMAN CENTICS

1. Hereditary factors in disease

Statistical methodology. Pedigree analysis. Population analysis.
Twin studies.
Clinical genetics
Single factor syndromes (e.g., hemophilia; retinoblastoma; meroderma pigmentation)
Complex determination (e.g., epilepsy; diabetes)
Ricchemical or developmental analysis of genetic defects (e.g., alkaptomuria or phenylpyruvic eligophrenia; sickle cell anemia)
Hutation rates.

2. Individuality

Ricod groups

Transplantation specificity

Sensory modalities (e.g. phenylthioures tasts)

Metabolic individuality

Antibody response

Anthropometry: skin and heir; features; 'race'

3. Cytogenatics

L. Censtic Hygiens and population genetics

Eugenics

Courselling

Eugenics Counselling Americans Savironmental medicine Savironmental medicine Savironmental medicine Savironmental Savironment Savironment

N. EXP. INTITAL MANMALTAN GENECICS

- 1. Mutation and radiation effects
- 2. Cytogenetics
- 3. Developmental analysis of gene affects
- h. Biochemical analysis of gene effects
- 5. Experimental evolution
- 6. Transplantation—histocompatibility and acquired tolerance
- 7. Susceptibility to infectious disease
- 8. Cancer Besearch—host variations and genetics of tissue and tusor cells.

C. MICHOOMOANIAMS

Mutation. Madiation effects. Chamical mutagenesis. Mechanisms of killing cells.
Evolutionary patherns in natural populations (esp. of pathogens).
Senetic factors in pathogenicity.
Senetic recombination analysis.
Nature and origin of viruses.

Footnotes to IV: This is readily recognised in characteristic metabolic disca as but may be equally important in personal differences, as Roger Williams has emphasized. Individuality may thus be reflected in characteristic patterns of exerction of various metabolites and has a large, containly not an exclusive, genetic basis. It is also puffected in differences in the appeutic response, e.g., to isomize id, and may be a neglected factor in idiosyncratic response to other drugs. Ex light genetic analysis of the latter situations remains to be carried out.

Por example, in the polic vaccination program, it has been noted that some children make a poor antibody response. It is not known whether this has a genetic lasis, nor west or this is a general unresponsiveness or a specific unreactiveness to the polic virus. Fink (at Colora to) and others has given experimental evidence for genetic variability in antibody response in mide. This field should be one of the more important areas of development for practical medical understanding. The general question of individualized reactions to pathogens is not far distant.

Jaxapperated emphasis on "sugenic" programs for the sterilization of the so balled "unfit" is largely responsible for the hindered development of

medical genetics. Such negative controls are essentially futile for the reduction in incidence of rare recessive mutations in any event, and involve matters of public policy that far overreach the authority of the medical profession per se. Many modern students (cf. Heel and Shull) share the view that much more scientific knowledge of human genetics is needed before one can advocate any far reaching social controls of human reproduction. The present role of the human geneticist is to inform his colleagues in medical practice and through them the public, rather than advocate drastic interference by society, especially when the calculated social effects are so small. On the other hand, the public is entitled to have access to physicians who can intelligently advise their patients on genetic problems.

Medicine may someday be faced with formulating an attitude on another issue where genetic control may be more effective, namely the sex ratio. This has not been the subject of much work lately, but it is at least theoretically possible that techniques will ultimately be developed to enable the sex of offspring to be voluntarily controlled. Nost of us would hope that day to be deferred indefinitely, but this illustrates the transnoons impact that human genetics is bound to have, ultimately, on medicine and on society.

*Counselling. Many schools have set up "Heredity Clinics" as part of their program in medical genetics. These are valuable centers for the collection of data, and there can be no question of public interest in these problems. Such a service in which the genetic specialist deals directly with the patient is not advocated. The counsellor inevitably must influence the patient's repreductive decisions, matters so involved with his total personality that such consultation should ordinarily be made with his own physicians. The department should be in a position to consult with physicians, and inevitably will.

Figure remains and professional operations, as well as from atomic bombs. Almost all the emphasis so far has been spent on radiations (from bombs, fluoroscopy or mineral extraction), and, except that some hazard is involved, little enough is known. Without minimizing the translations importance of radiation hazards from, for example, fallout, it seems there is a much broader problem of which radiation is only one part. Until recently, radiations were considered the only artificial agency by which mutations could be induced. It is now realised that a wide variety of chemical reagents can induce mutations. Radiations undoubtedly have freer access to the germ cells, but the mutagenicity of such compounds as hydrogen peroxide, formaldehyde, mitrogen mustard, assering, and caffeine raises the question whether genetic effects should be considered as one aspect of chronic toxicity of compounds which are part of the everyday environment of modern man.

Experimental studies have now firmly established the immunogenetic basis of transplantation compatibility in mammals. It is disheartening to see how eften these factors are ignored in surgical reports. Prenatal exposure of mice to heterologous tissue antigens provokes a tolerance to the postnatal transplantation of similar tissues. This is an important lead to the development of techniques for overcoming histocompatibility barriers which hinder the potentially vast applications of organ replacement. These studies also promise to give answers to one of the mysterious questions of immunology: why does the organism fail to produce antibodies to its own antigens?

Most of the emphasis in genetic cancer research has been in the properties of specific lines of mice, which are indeed indispensable research tools.

More recently, technical advances are leading to closer emmination of the genetics of the tissue cell itself, as in the ascites tumor studies of Hauschka and Klein, and the extremely promising tissue culture findings of Puck.

- V. Specific considerations on possible interrelations between the University of Colorado School of Medicine, the Colorado Soundation for Research in Tuber-culosis and Dr. Joshua Lederberg.
- 1. The Foundation: the Foundation charter directs the pursuit of medical research with special emphasis on tuberculosis. Our chief interest still remains in tuberculosis. Well established first class tuberculosis researchers are very limited in number and appear to be unavailable to us so far. The Trustees as a consequence have agreed to go out of the field of tuberculosis research, if necessary, in order to secure first class research ability; i.e. the quality of research is considered more important than the subject.
- 2. The School: The creation of a Department of Genetics in the School, supported largely or even entirely by the Foundation, would be a new departure on at least two counts:
 - a) An increase rather than a further decrease in the number of departments or divisions of the School.
 - b) The new emphasis on the subject of genetics.
- 3. Dr. Lederberg: There is no question that this whole matter has come up now because of the possible availability of a scientist of Dr. Lederberg's caliber. (He is responsible incidentally, for the information contained in sections II, III, IV and VI). He will not be available, if at all, for from one to three years. He would bring with him his wife who is also an accomplished microbial geneticist.

His curriculum vitae follows: He was born in Montclair, New Jersey in 1925. He received his B.S. degree from Columbia in 1944 and his Ph. T. from Tale in 1946. He came to the University of Misconsin as Assistant Professor of Cenetics in the College of Agriculture in 1947. He had risen to the rank of full Professor by 1953. He received the Eli Lilly award in 1953 for outstanding work by a scientist under 35 years of age.

He has published over 50 papers on microbial genetics, a list of which is available for those interested. Among his outstanding contributions are the demonstration of sex in bacteria and the clear demonstration that becterial resistance to chemotherapeutic agents is due to mutation rather than adaptation.

Ir. Lederberg would not want to come here without considerable autonomy in planning his research and teaching, hence the suggestion of a new Department. A division of a present department (e.g., Medicine) might well meet the needs of the situation after further consideration and discussion.

VI. Growth of a Department (or Mivision) of Genetics.

If this proposal is initiated with a staff of two or three microbial geneticists, workers (at least part time) in manualian genetics and in clinical genetics would soon be desirable, especially the former. Because of the interrelated problems, some affiliation with the Blood Bank might be a logical development.

VII. Sumary

Presented here for the consideration of those Medical School and University officials concerned is a proposal for the creation of a new program of teaching and research in Genetics at the Medical School. It revolves particularly around one man, Dr. Joshua Lederberg, whose thinking is responsible for the plan presented. It is definitely exploratory at this point. More detailed information on the subject can be obtained from the writer if desired. Before going further, the Foundation desires some official reaction from the authorities of the School and University.

Roger S. Mitchell, M.D., Grector Colorado Foundation for Research in Tuberculosis

cc: Drs. Darley
Ledorberg
Levis
Manlove
Steams
Waring